

CITY OF AVONDALE
JUDICIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Wednesday, February 7, 2024

5:30 p.m.

Physical Access to the Sonoran Conference Room will be available thirty (30) minutes prior to the meeting.

**Avondale City Hall (Sonoran Conference Room)
11465 W. Civic Center Dr. Avondale, AZ 85323**

1. Welcome/Introduction(s)
2. Select Chairperson and re-select/select Vice-Chairperson
3. Overview of process and board member responsibilities
4. Overview of previous Re-Appointment Process
5. Timeline of Re-Appointment Process
6. Schedule Next Meeting
7. Adjournment

Individuals with special accessibility needs, including sight or hearing impaired, large print, or interpreter, should contact the City Clerk at 623-333-1200 or TDD 623-333-0010 at least two business days prior to the Meeting.

Personas con necesidades especiales de accesibilidad, incluyendo personas con impedimentos de vista u oído, o con necesidad de impresión grande o interprete, deben comunicarse con la Secretaria de la Ciudad at 623-333-1200 o TDD 623-333-0010 cuando menos dos días hábiles antes de la junta del Concejo.



January 31, 2024

Avondale Judicial Advisory Board
The Honorable John Burkholder, Vice-Chairperson
11465 West Civic Center Drive
Avondale, Arizona 85323

Dear Judge Burkholder,

I am honored to submit this letter to request re-appointment as the Presiding Judge of the Avondale City Court. The time since my last re-appointment has gone by very quickly. I met with you during the re-appointment interview on June 15, 2022. I was re-appointed by the Avondale City Council on July 11, 2022, and my fifth term began August 14, 2022.

During the last year and six months, I have grown as a person and as a judge. I look forward to meeting with you and discussing my work and growth during this previous term. Please let me know what I can provide you to assist you in this process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Craig L. Jennings', written over a faint circular watermark.

Hon. Craig L. Jennings
Presiding Judge

Avondale City Court
11325 W Civic Center Drive | Avondale, AZ 85323
Phone (623) 333-5800 | Fax (623) 333-0580 | TDD (623) 333-0010
www.avondaleaz.gov

City of Avondale Judicial Evaluation Survey for Presiding Judge Craig Jennings -
Attorney Survey

This questionnaire has been developed as a means of evaluating the performance of the judge who presided over the substantive proceeding in which you were involved. Mark the box next to each question that best describes your feelings. If you feel that you do not have enough information to respond to the statement, mark the box entitled "Can't Rate". Additional comments can be written in the Comments Section of this form. Please do not provide your name in your response. Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.

1. Please indicate all type(s) of proceeding(s) in which you participated:

- Pretrial Conference
- Motion Hearing
- Jury Trial
- Non-Jury Trial
- Other (please specify)

2. Did you participate as a:

- Prosecutor
- Defense Attorney
- Other (please specify)

3. Section I - Integrity

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Treats all persons equally regardless of race or national origin.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Treats all persons equally regardless of gender.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Displays a sense of fairness and justice.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Avoids prejudging the outcome of a case.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Section II - Communication Skills

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Instructions and directions are easily understood.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Section III - Legal Ability

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Knowledge of Rules of Procedure.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Knowledge of Rules of Evidence.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Knowledge of substantive law.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Decides issues based on evidence and the law.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Section IV - Judicial Temperament

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Displays patience	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Courteous to everyone present.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Demeanor gives confidence in ability.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Section V - Administrative Skills

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Began court proceedings within ten minutes of scheduled time or gave explanation for delay.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Maintains proper control over the courtroom.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Section VI - Sentencing

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Considers all factors when sentencing the defendant.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Section VII - Comments

The court is requesting that you provide any positive comments or constructive criticism below. Please be mindful of ER 8.2 as it relates to statements about judicial and legal officials. Expressing honest and candid suggestions about how a judge can improve will contribute to improving the administration of justice. However, non-constructive comments without a suggestion to improve do not provide useful input to the judge or the court's administration.

All comments are confidential and anonymous.

Judicial Evaluation Survey for Presiding Judge *Litigant Survey*

Please rate the performance of Judge Jennings performance below. Mark the box next to each question that best describes your feelings. Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey.

Please do not provide your name in the answers below.

How do you rate Judge Jennings's overall performance?

Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate

Section I – Integrity

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Treats all persons equally regardless of race or national origin.					
Treats all persons equally regardless of gender.					
Displays a sense of fairness and justice.					
Avoids prejudging the outcome of a case.					

Section II – Communication Skills

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Instructions and directions are easily understood.					

Judicial Evaluation Survey for Presiding Judge
Litigant Survey

Section III – Judicial Temperament

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Displays patience					
Courteous to everyone present.					
Demeanor gives confidence in ability.					

Section IV – Administrative Skills

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions.					
Began court proceedings within ten minutes of scheduled time or gave explanation for delay.					
Maintains proper control over the courtroom.					

Judicial Evaluation Survey for Presiding Judge
Litigant Survey

Section V – Comments

Please provide any positive comments or constructive criticism below.

All comments are confidential and anonymous.

Encuesta de Evaluación judicial por el presidente del tribunal
Encuesta litigante

Por favor califique el desempeño del Juez Jennings en las preguntas que siguen. Marque la casilla junto a cada pregunta que mejor describe sus sentimientos. Gracias por tomarse el tiempo para completar esta encuesta.

Por favor no revele su nombre en las respuestas.

Cómo califica el desempeño general del Juez Jennings?

Superior	Satisfactorio	Necesita mejorar	Insatisfactorio	No puedo votar

Sección I – Integridad

	Superior	Satisfactorio	Necesita mejorar	Insatisfactorio	No puedo votar
Trata a todas las personas por igual, independientemente de su raza o el origen nacional.					
Trata a todas las personas por igual, independientemente de su sexo o género.					
Muestra un sentido de imparcialidad y justicia.					
Evita prejuzgar el resultado de un caso.					

Sección II – Habilidades de Comunicación

	Superior	Satisfactorio	Necesita mejorar	Insatisfactorio	No puedo votar
Da instrucciones y direcciones que son fáciles de entender.					

Encuesta de Evaluación judicial por el presidente del tribunal
Encuesta litigante

Sección III – Temperamento Judicial

	Superior	Satisfactorio	Necesita mejorar	Insatisfactorio	No puedo votar
Demuestra paciencia					
Es cortés con todos los presentes.					
Su conducta da confianza en su capacidad.					

Sección IV - Habilidades Administrativas


	Superior	Satisfactorio	Necesita mejorar	Insatisfactorio	No puedo votar
Es rápido para tomar decisiones y dictar decisiones					
Inició el procedimiento judicial dentro de los diez minutos de la hora programada o explicaron la razón del retraso.					
Mantiene un control adecuado de la sala de corte.					

Encuesta de Evaluación judicial por el presidente del tribunal
Encuesta litigante

Sección V – Comentarios

En la sección de abajo, por favor proporcione cualquier comentario positivo o crítica constructiva.

Todos los comentarios son confidenciales y anónimos.



Judicial Evaluation Survey for Presiding Judge - Staff Survey

This questionnaire has been developed as a means of evaluating the performance of the judge. Mark the box next to each question that best describes your feelings. If you feel that you do not have enough information to respond to the statement, mark the box entitled "Can't Rate". Additional comments can be written in the Comments Section of this form. Please do not provide your name in your response. The responses from this questionnaire are anonymous and will be viewed by the Judicial Advisory Board. Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.

1. Section I - Administrative

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
* Overall management effectiveness	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
** Productivity and innovations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Taking measures to provide adequate training and education for court personnel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Overall management effectiveness considers the intangibles of successful management, many of which may not be included in the plan. It covers items such as responsiveness to the City Manager's Office and the Mayor and City Council. It includes timely and effective implementation of programs and preparation of reports. It covers the effective and creative provision of services within regulations. It includes the ability to get things done instead of coming up with reasons why the job cannot be done.

** Productivity and innovations criteria considers efforts to cut costs or increase output. It also covers actions to use new technology or develop new methods for delivering services.

2. Section II - Professional

	Superior	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Can't Rate
Dignified, patient, and professional interaction with Court administration and staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dignified, patient, and professional interaction with the public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dignified, patient, and professional interaction with prosecutors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dignified, patient, and professional interaction with attorneys	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Section III - Comments

Please provide any positive comments or constructive criticism below. All comments are confidential and anonymous.

City of Avondale
Municipal Judge
Reappointment Interview Questions for Judge Jennings
June 15, 2022

1. What have you learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic that will help you in the in-person courtroom setting?

2. What steps are you taking to ensure access to virtual hearing for litigants who have technical limitations?

3. Given the results of the surveys (litigant, staff, and attorney), what improvements would you consider making in courtroom practice and/or procedures, if any?

4. What resources/tools would allow your staff to provide better service to the public?

5. What challenges are facing the court in the next two years? How do you plan to address these?

6. What has been your greatest challenge inside the courtroom, such as communication, time management, interaction with self-represented litigants, or other areas? What ideas do you have to address these challenges?

7. How have you grown as a person and a judge in the past two years? Please share two impactful experiences you've had over the course of your current term and how they will shape your future decisions in the Court.

8. Describe a positive impact you have had on the community in your role as a Judge whether it be inside or outside the courtroom.

[Home Table of Contents](#)

Rule 6. Application
Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated
Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments

Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated
Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments (Refs & Annos)

17C A.R.S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 6
Formerly cited as AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 7

Rule 6. Application

Currentness

- a. Every applicant shall complete and file with the Administrative Office of the Supreme Court a signed original "Application for Nomination to Judicial Office" and a .pdf version of the application, in the manner instructed in the public announcement for each judicial vacancy. The application shall be on a form approved by the Supreme Court. The signed original paper application governs should discrepancies exist with the .pdf version.
- b. The original application and the .pdf version filed by an applicant not appointed by the Governor shall be retained for one year after the application deadline date stated on the first page of the application. All documents received with respect to the person's application shall also be retained for one year. At an applicant's request, the original application, the .pdf version, and any supplemental material submitted by the applicant will be returned to the applicant during the one year period. Otherwise all documents and the .pdf shall be retained and provided to the Commission that originally considered the application if a new vacancy arises during the one year period. The applicant can withdraw his or her application for any or all vacancies occurring during that period by notifying the Commission in writing of the withdrawal. At the expiration of the one year period, any applications, .pdf files and supplemental materials retained by the Commission shall be destroyed and deleted.
- c. Applications, .pdf files, and documents on file for each judicial vacancy shall be provided to the members of the appropriate Commission at least seven (7) calendar days before the first Commission meeting concerning each vacancy.
- d. Except as provided hereafter, information provided to the Commission by the applicant or by a third party shall be available to the public. The following information shall be confidential throughout the nomination process.
1. All information in response to questions contained in Section II of the application form;
 2. written information provided to the Commission by a third party regarding an applicant, including the third party's identity, which the third party designates in writing as confidential information;
 3. all individual Commissioner's notes that are generated for personal use; and
 4. any information obtained by or submitted to the Commission that is confidential by law.

Credits

Added on an expedited basis Dec. 14, 2016, effective Dec. 14, 2016. Added on a permanent basis April 27, 2017, effective April 27, 2017.

17C A. R. S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 6, AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 6
State Court Rules are current with amendments received through November 15, 2023. The Code of Judicial Administration is current with amendments received through November 1, 2023.

END OF DOCUMENT

[Home Table of Contents](#)

Rule 7. Screening of Applications and Selection of Applicants for Interviews
 Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated
 Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments

Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated
 Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments (Refs & Annos)

17C A.R.S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 7
 Formerly cited as AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 8

Rule 7. Screening of Applications and Selection of Applicants for Interviews

[Currentness](#)

a. Public Notice and Comment: Names of applicants and the date, place and time of the Commission meeting to screen applications shall be widely disseminated to the public. Comments about applicants should be made, if feasible, at least three (3) working days before the screening meeting as follows: (1) in writing to the Judicial Nominating Commission for distribution by staff to the Commission, or (2) verbally or by written or electronic means to the Commissioners.

b. Investigation of Applicants: As soon as Commissioners receive applications and documents on file, they may begin investigating the background and qualifications of applicants. Using the application as a starting point, Commissioners may contact as many of the individuals and institutions knowledgeable about the applicant as deemed beneficial. Commissioners shall encourage sources to allow their names to be disclosed to the commission, the applicant and the public but may accept comments about an applicant from a source that requests confidentiality as to the other Commissioners, the applicant, and/or the public, if the Commissioner believes it is in the public's best interest to accept such comments.

When a source provides a negative opinion about an applicant's character, fitness, or competency, the commissioner shall ask the source to provide a detailed factual basis for that opinion. The Commissioner shall also ask for the names and contact information of others who might have knowledge about the opinion. The Commissioner shall contact any individuals identified to ask about the applicant's character, fitness or competency.

c. Screening Meeting

1. *General:* The Commission shall meet to decide which applicants to interview. Each Commissioner shall disclose comments and other information relied upon to evaluate each applicant. If confidentiality has been promised to a source, commissioners should consider whether less weight should be given to that source's information. The Commission may hold an executive session upon a majority vote of Commissioners in attendance to promote open and frank discussion of applicant qualifications. The substance of deliberations in executive session shall not be disclosed.

2. *Public Comment:* Members of the public are invited to briefly comment orally at the screening meeting. The Chair shall allocate equal time at the screening meeting for relevant comment on each applicant. The Chair may terminate comments which exceed the time allocated or which are irrelevant to the qualifications of applicants. The Chair may also limit duplicative comments regarding an applicant. Applicants are encouraged to invite no more than two (2) speakers to comment on their behalf. Applicants are asked to limit solicited reference letters to a maximum of twelve (12) from a diverse group of people who are well-acquainted with the applicant.

3. *Opinion Comments:* Negative opinions that are not supported with a factual basis, or a second source shall not be disclosed at the Commission meeting. If disclosed, the supporting information must also be disclosed.

4. *Anonymous Comments:* Information from an anonymous source shall not be considered by any Commissioner or shared with any other Commissioner or the Commission at any point in the screening process.

5. *Selection of Applicants for Interviews:* The Chair shall invite Commissioners to nominate applicants to be placed on a tentative list of those to be interviewed. Such a nomination requires the concurrence of one additional Commissioner. The name of each applicant who receives a vote of the majority of Commissioners voting shall be placed on a tentative list. Following this procedure with or without an additional executive session or sessions, the tentative list of interviewees may be added to or subtracted from by public vote until a final list of applicants to be interviewed is determined. The Commission may vary these procedures at its discretion.

Credits

Added on an expedited basis Dec. 14, 2016, effective Dec. 14, 2016. Added on a permanent basis April 27, 2017, effective April 27, 2017.

17C A. R. S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 7, AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 7
 State Court Rules are current with amendments received through November 15, 2023. The Code of Judicial Administration is current with amendments received through November 1, 2023.

[Home Table of Contents](#)**Rule 8. Interviews of Applicants and Selection of Nominees**

Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated

Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments

Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated

Uniform Rules of Procedure for Commissions on Appellate and Trial Court Appointments (Refs & Annos)

17C A.R.S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 8
Formerly cited as AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 9**Rule 8. Interviews of Applicants and Selection of Nominees**Currentness

a. Public Notice and Comment: Names of applicants selected for interview and the date, place and time of the Commission meeting to interview applicants shall be widely disseminated to the public. The public, the judiciary and bar associations shall be invited to provide comments regarding these applicants. Comments about applicants should be made, if feasible, at least three (3) working days before the interview meeting as follows: (1) in writing to the Judicial Nominating Commission for distribution by staff to the Commission, or (2) verbally or by written or electronic means to the Commissioners.

b. Investigation of Applicants Selected for Interviews: The Commission shall further evaluate selected applicants by contacting as many individuals, community groups and other sources as deemed reasonable to obtain information about the applicants' life experiences, community activities and backgrounds. Commissioners shall encourage sources to allow their names to be disclosed to the Commission, the applicant and the public, but may accept comments about an applicant from a source that requests confidentiality as to the other Commissioners, the applicant and/or the public if the Commissioner believes it is in the public's best interest accept such comments.

When a source provides a negative opinion about an applicant's character, fitness or competency, the Commissioner shall ask the source to provide a detailed factual basis for that opinion. The Commissioner shall also ask for the names and contact information of others who might have knowledge about the opinion. The Commissioner shall contact any individual identified to ask about the applicant's character, fitness or competency.

c. Communication with Applicants: Nothing in this rule prohibits the Chair of the Commission or staff from contacting an applicant when the Chair determines that such contact is in the best interests of the Commission, the applicant or the public.

d. Interview Meeting

1. *General:* Each Commission shall meet for the purpose of interviewing selected applicants in order to compile a list of nominees to be forwarded to the Governor. The Commission shall schedule sufficient time prior to the interview of each applicant to discuss and evaluate each applicant's qualifications and to determine whether any matter should be raised with the applicant during the interviews. Each Commissioner shall disclose comments and other information relied on to evaluate each applicant. If confidentiality has been promised to a source, Commissioners should consider whether less weight should be given to that source's information. The Commission may hold an executive session upon a majority vote of Commissioners in attendance to promote open and frank discussion of applicant qualifications. The substance of deliberations in executive session shall not be disclosed.

2. *Public Comment:* Members of the public are invited to briefly comment orally at the screening meeting. The Chair shall allocate equal time at the screening meeting for relevant comment on each applicant. The Chair may terminate comments which exceed the time allocated or which are irrelevant to the qualifications of applicants. The Chair may also limit duplicative comments regarding an applicant. Applicants are encouraged to invite no more than two (2) speakers to comment on their behalf.

3. *Opinion comments:* Negative opinions that are not supported with a factual basis or a second source shall not be disclosed at the Commission meeting. If supported and disclosed, the supporting information must also be disclosed.

4. *Anonymous comments:* Information from an anonymous source shall not be considered by any Commissioner or shared with any other Commissioner or the Commission at any point in the screening process.

5. *Conduct of Interviews:* Selected applicants shall be publicly interviewed by Commissioners. The Chair shall admonish the public in attendance not to disclose the interview questions or candidate answers until the conclusion of all interviews. A Commissioner may question an applicant about comments made about the applicant for which confidentiality has been requested so long as the source of comment is not identified. Upon motion and a majority vote of the Commission, a portion of the interview may occur in executive session unless, after given a choice, the interviewee elects to remain in public session.

6. *Deliberations of the Commission:* At the conclusion of the interviews, the Chair shall invite further discussion among the Commissioners about applicants. To the extent possible, no material and adverse information about an applicant that a Commissioner knows before the interview may be disclosed to the Commission after the interview occurs. The Commission may hold an executive session upon a majority vote to promote open and frank discussion regarding the qualifications of applicants interviewed. The substance of deliberations in executive session shall not be disclosed.

7. *Selection of Nominees for Submission to the Governor*: All voting by each Commission on the number of nominees to be forwarded to the Governor and on the applicants nominated shall be in public session. The Chair shall invite Commissioners to nominate applicants interviewed to be placed on a tentative list of those to be nominated to the Governor. Such a nomination requires the concurrence of one additional Commissioner. The name of each applicant who receives a vote of the majority of Commissioners voting shall be placed on the tentative list. Following this procedure, with or without an executive session or sessions, the tentative list of nominees may be added to or subtracted from by public vote until a final list of nominees is determined. The above process may be repeated until the resulting list of nominees satisfies constitutional requirements and is approved for referral to the Governor by a public vote of the Commission. The Commission may vary these procedures at its discretion.

Credits

Added on an expedited basis Dec. 14, 2016, effective Dec. 14, 2016. Added on a permanent basis April 27, 2017, effective April 27, 2017. 17C A. R. S. App. and Tr.Ct.Appointments Comm.Unif. Rules of Proc., Rule 8, AZ ST A AND TRIAL CT APPT Rule 8
State Court Rules are current with amendments received through November 15, 2023. The Code of Judicial Administration is current with amendments received through November 1, 2023.

END OF DOCUMENT